Excessive dynamic airway collapse (EDAC)
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Summary

Excessive dynamic airway collapse (EDAC) defines the pathological collapse and narrowing of the airway lumen by >50%, which is entirely due to the laxity of the posterior wall membrane with structurally intact airway cartilage. It is often mentioned, incidentally and interchangeably, with tracheobronchomalacia (TBM), but it is pathophysiologically and morphologically distinct from TBM. The lung diseases most frequently associated with EDAC are the chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and asthma. The incidence of EDAC is 22% in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and/or asthma. The decrease in transmural pressure and the weakening of the posterior muscle membrane fosters the collapse of the airways during coughing and/or forced expiration. In most cases the symptoms of EDAC are ascribed to its accompanying pathologies, while the actual pathology is incidentally individuated through a bronchoscopy or CT scan performed for other reasons. Even when the central EDAC is identified as responsible for symptoms, it is better a conservative approach with medical treatment and NIPPV before committing patients to potentially harmful effects resulting from airway stents or open surgical procedures. This review describes the pathophysiology and epidemiology of EDAC, then distinguishes EDAC from TBM and describes its precipitating factors, clinical presentation, also in addition to covering its potential treatments and prognosis.
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Introduction

Expiratory central airway collapse (ECAC) is a syndrome comprising two different pathophysiologic entities: excessive dynamic airway collapse (EDAC) and tracheobronchomalacia (TBM). EDAC defines the pathological collapse and narrowing of the airway lumen by >50%, which is entirely due to the laxity of the posterior wall membrane with structurally intact airway cartilage. It is a relatively new disease entity; EDAC is often asymptomatic and diagnosed incidentally. It is also often mentioned, incidentally and interchangeably, with tracheobronchomalacia (TBM), but it is pathophysiologically and morphologically distinct from TBM (1-3). Advances in imaging modalities, including bronchoscopy and dynamic radiographic studies, allow increased recognition and differentiation of dynamic and fixed airway abnormalities (4). This review describes the pathophysiology and epidemiology of EDAC, then distinguishes EDAC from TBM and describes its precipitating factors, clinical presentation, also in addition to covering its potential treatments and prognosis.

Epidemiology

The lack of standardization in the diagnosis of EDAC and its non-distinction from TBM in the past is an obstacle in defining the epidemiology of this disease. The reported prevalence of TBM and EDAC varies with the study population, the diagnostic methodologies employed, and the criteria used to define airway collapse; TBM and EDAC are present in 4-23% of patients undergoing bronchoscopy for various indications (5-9); in a review (2) the incidence of EDAC is 22% in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and/or asthma.

In order to reduce the number of false positives in the diagnostic process, EDAC should be defined as such only if clinically relevant during maximal breathing. In clinical practice the definition of EDAC as a >50% lumen reduction is obsolete, since about 80% of healthy volunteers over 45 years of
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...reach these values of forced expiration (10); it has been observed that usually a 95% reduction is required to induce a symptomatology that requires therapy (11). Recently a classification has been proposed which is based on objective and quantifiable criteria to be applied before and after treatment in order to assess its efficacy (12).

The criteria of this system can be grouped in 2 sets: the descriptive factors including morphology and etiology, and stratification factors that can be scored objectively. The morphology criterion describes the shape of the airway lumen, which is reduced during expiration as assessed by bronchoscopic or radiological studies. Origin (etiology) describes the underlying mechanism responsible for the abnormality: idiopathic or secondary to other disorders. To describe the functional class, this system used the World Health Organization (WHO) functional impairment scale, because of its easy clinical applicability and because it does not address just dyspnea but the overall impact of symptoms on patient’s functional status. The extent criterion describes the location and distribution of the abnormal airway segment as assessed by bronchoscopy or radiographic studies. The severity criterion describes the degree of airway collapse (AC) during expiration. This classification allows the monitoring of the progression or improvement of the disease process and the outcome and durability of different treatment strategies. Five domains are addressed: functional class (F), extent (E), morphology (M), origin (O), and severity of AC (S); FEMOS (Table 1). Outcomes are documented as subscripts, for example F2 E2 S4; this information can come and durability of different treatment strategies.

Recently a classification has been proposed which is based on objective and quantifiable criteria to be applied before and after treatment in order to assess its efficacy (12).

The criteria of this system can be grouped in 2 sets: the descriptive factors including morphology and etiology, and stratification factors that can be scored objectively. The morphology criterion describes the shape of the airway lumen, which is reduced during expiration as assessed by bronchoscopic or radiological studies. Origin (etiology) describes the underlying mechanism responsible for the abnormality: idiopathic or secondary to other disorders. To describe the functional class, this system used the World Health Organization (WHO) functional impairment scale, because of its easy clinical applicability and because it does not address just dyspnea but the overall impact of symptoms on patient’s functional status. The extent criterion describes the location and distribution of the abnormal airway segment as assessed by bronchoscopy or radiographic studies. The severity criterion describes the degree of airway collapse (AC) during expiration. This classification allows the monitoring of the progression or improvement of the disease process and the outcome and durability of different treatment strategies. Five domains are addressed: functional class (F), extent (E), morphology (M), origin (O), and severity of AC (S): FEMOS (Table 1). Outcomes are documented as subscripts, for example F2 E2 S4; this information can be tabulated or plotted to provide a visual temporal treatment map, charting patient progress.

**Table 1 - Stratification factors from FEMOS classification system for ECAC (Murgu SD, Colt HG. Tracheobronchomalacia and Excessive Dynamic Airway Collapse. Clin Chest Med 2013;34:527-55).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Functional status</strong></td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>Symptomatic on exertion</td>
<td>Symptomatic with daily activity</td>
<td>Symptomatic at rest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refers to degree of functional impairment as defined by WHO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Extent</strong></td>
<td>No abnormal AC</td>
<td>1 main, lobar or segmental bronchus or 1 tracheal region (upper, mid or lower)</td>
<td>In 2 contiguous or &gt; 2 non contiguous regions.</td>
<td>In &gt; 2 contiguous regions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defines the length of the tracheobronchial wall affected and the location of the abnormal airway segment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Severity</strong></td>
<td>Expiratory AC of 0%-50%</td>
<td>Expiratory AC of 50%-75%</td>
<td>Expiratory AC of 75%-100%</td>
<td>Expiratory AC of 100%; the airway walls make contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Describes the degree of the AC during expiration as documented by bronchoscopic or radiologic studies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Etiopathogenesis**

During expiration, the posterior wall of the trachea and of the mainstem bronchi moves inward, reducing the lumen of the airways. Normally the tone of the smooth muscle keeps the airways open, preventing their collapse. In most chronic respiratory pathologies, however, expiration becomes an active process in order to overcome the loss of elasticity of the airways; thus, the pressure of the airways decreases as the air flow advances through the obstructed airways (Bernoulli effect); this generates a transmural pressure gradient that fosters EDAC: the compressed airway region is called flow-limiting segment (FLS).

Besides, recurring coughing, inflammation and the infections that characterize chronic pulmonary diseases, further weaken the tone of the bronchial smooth muscle contributing to EDAC. Therefore, the decrease in transmural pressure and the weakening of the posterior muscle membrane fosters the collapse of the airways during coughing and/or forced expiration. This effect does not change the cartilage structure of the trachea and of the mainstem bronchi.

In the past, the term DAC (dynamic airway collapse) was mainly used to indicate EDAC and TBM, however, although both cause a reduction of the lumen of the main airways, more recent observations suggest that they are two distinct nosologic entities.

TBM is a weakness of the anterior and/or lateral walls of the main airways caused by a softening of the cartilage. This is the main difference from EDAC, because, when the anterior wall is most involved, its collapse causes a decrease in sagittal diameter (crescent-shaped TBM), whereas a weakness of the lateral walls...
leads to a decrease in transverse diameter (saber-sheath TBM). Finally, when both the lateral walls and the anterior wall are involved, we observe a concentric diameter reduction (combined TBM), usually combined with great inflammation of the involved structures (polychondritis) (Figure 1). A normal explorative bronchoscopy allows the identification of the weakened cartilage structures. EDAC differs from TBM in that, in this pathology, lumen reduction is entirely due to the laxity and excessive invagination of the posterior wall, by full integrity of the cartilage structures (Figure 2).

The lung diseases most frequently associated with
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EDAC are the chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and asthma.

**Diagnosis**

In most cases the symptoms of EDAC are ascribed to its accompanying pathologies (COPD and ASTHMA), while the actual pathology is accidently individuated through a bronchoscopy or CT scan performed for other reasons. In severe cases the symptomatology is characterized by dry cough, dyspnea, recurrent airways infections due to difficult expectoration and respiratory failure; a typical symptom is a wheezing that resists corticosteroid and bronchodilating therapy. The correct diagnosis is formulated after years or months of investigations and useless treatment of COPD and/or asthma.

EDAC can be responsible for the problematic weaning of patients from mechanical ventilation, because the endotracheal tube or the positive pressure of the air insufflated into the airways, reduces or prevents the invagination of the posterior tracheal wall.

**Computed Tomography (CT)**

Low-dose dynamic CT can individuate TBM and EDAC, if static images of end of inspiration and expiration and forced expiration are taken. The maximal collapse may not be detected by paired end-inspiratory CT scans. Therefore, dynamic (kinetic) CT is used in the assessment of TBM and EDAC as an alternative or complementary test to dynamic bronchoscopy, having a similar sensitivity. Only specifically trained technicians, who suitably instruct and follow the patient during the procedure, should perform dynamic CT. Three-dimensional renderings are useful to obtain an overall view, but measurements must be taken based on the axial images. Usually three anatomical levels are examined during each phase of the respiratory cycle (aortic arch, main carina, and intermediate bronchus). However, there is no agreement among the studies as to the number and anatomical locations to be analyzed.

Dynamic CT is often used in pre-operative assessment, to determine the degree, extension and nature of the narrowing (e.g. extrinsic compression) and allows individuating other pathologies requiring different measures.

The advantages of CT over bronchoscopy are, in addition to its lower invasiveness, the possibility to observe the structures surrounding the airways, which can be potentially responsible for the malacia and the characteristics of the lung tissue that contribute to the collapse.
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Contribute to the collapse (emphysema, bronchiolitis, air trapping). Its disadvantages are the lack of information on the mucosa, the required high patient’s compliance and exposure to ionizing radiation.

Pulmonary Function Testing (PFT)

In EDAC patient’s spirometry shows an obstructive syndrome, but gives no information as to the severity of the narrowing of the airways (21). Likewise, spirometry does not show the improvements that can follow the application of a stent, tracheoplasty or other therapeutic measures (11). The appearance of the spirometric curve is typical of the dynamic collapse of central airways, but makes no distinction between EDAC and TBM.

AC pattern is characterized by a decrease in flow rate from the peak flow to an inflection point less than 50% of peak flow rate. The inflection point occurs within the first 25% of expired vital capacity. Usually RV and FRC were higher in AC patients, indicating more severe hyperinflation. Flow oscillations on the flow-volume loop have also been described in patients with ECAC. These oscillations take on a saw-tooth appearance, defined as a reproducible sequence of alternating decelerations and accelerations of flow (22).

Treatment

Asymptomatic ECAC, regardless of the degree of AC, should not be treated. Functional impairment in ECAC may result from at least three causes: dyspnea, cough, and mucus retention.

Patient’s evaluation must include PFT, 6MWT (6 minutes walking test), Karnofsky performance status, dyspnea scale and SGRQ (St George Respiratory Questionnaire). Once identified on dynamic CT or dynamic bronchoscopy, PFTs are performed to assess if there is any associated impact on maximum expiratory flow or dynamic hyperinflation. A clear categorization as TBM or EDAC is performed and cause is searched for. The extent, degree of narrowing, and impact on functional status and SGRQ are then evaluated to determine if treatment is warranted (23). The cause of process (when known) should be medically treated first, if possible. In addition to disease specific treatment, chest physiotherapy, mucolytic drugs, adjustable positive expiratory pressure valves can be used to improve secretion management.
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